Application No. 10/813,146 Amendment Dated 14 July 2005 Reply to Office Action of 9 May 2005

Remarks

The Examiner has objected to the Abstract of the Disclosure and Claim 1 because the phrase "stalk-like" is indefinite. Accordingly, the Abstract of the Disclosure and Claim 1 have now been amended.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-3, 6-10, and 12 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0 504 639 A1 to Arnold. However, the Examiner has indicated that claims 4, 5, and 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, claim 1 has now been amended to include the subject matter of claims 2, 6, 8, 9, and 11 and these claims have now been cancelled. Claims 4 and 12 have also been amended to depend from claim 1. It should also be noted that claim 1 has been amended to require at least two intake and mowing arrangements. It is respectfully submitted that in view of the amendment claims 1, 3-5, 7, 10 and 12 are now in condition for allowance.

In conclusion, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephonic conference would be useful in furthering the present application toward allowance, the undersigned attorney would welcome such a call.

Application No. 10/813,146 Amendment Dated 14 July 2005 Reply to Office Action of 9 May 2005

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,

Attorney for Applicant(s)

W. Michael Dixon
Reg. No. 37,815
Patent Department
Deere & Company
One John Deere Place
Moline, IL 61265
Telephone No. (309) 765-5159

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an

envelope addresserva Mail Stop

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box, 1450

Pere & Company

Date